THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO.8074 OF 2007

DATED: 05-7-2007

Between:

V. Bhagya Lakshmi and another

.. Petitioners

and

Andhra Pradesh Road Transport Corporation, rep. by the Managing Director, Musheerabad, Hyderabad and another.

.. Respondents

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO.8074 OF 2007

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not providing employment to any of the petitioners on compassionate grounds, as arbitrary and illegal.

It appears, the husband of the 1st petitioner, while serving the A.P. State Road Transport Corporation (for short 'APSRTC') as driver, died on 02.03.2005. Thereafter, the 1st petitioner made a representation on 09.05.2005 to the respondent-authorities seeking employment on compassionate grounds. Since the said representation was not considered by the respondents, she filed a writ petition, being W.P.No.18355 of 2005, before this Court and the same was disposed of on 19.08.2005 directing the respondents to provide employment, on compassionate grounds, in any suitable post either to the 1st petitioner or to any other eligible children of the 1st petitioner. In pursuance of the said orders, the 1st petitioner made a representation on 14.09.2005, but the same was rejected on the ground that she did not possess the required qualifications for being appointed as a conductor or driver or in any other post like Shramik etc., and it was advised that if any of her children possesses the required qualifications mentioned therein, she can make such application. Accordingly, she made another representation furnishing the details of the educational qualifications of her son, the 2nd petitioner, and requesting the respondents to provide employment to him. Since no action has been taken on the said

representation, she made another representation on 03.03.2007, but the same went in vain. Hence, this writ petition.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, in spite of furnishing information about the educational qualifications of the 2nd petitioner and making several representations thereafter, the case of the 2nd petitioner was not considered by the respondents for being appointed on compassionate grounds in any suitable post.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on merits, the respondents are directed to consider the latest representation, dated 03.03.2007, purported to have been made by the 1st petitioner for providing employment to her son, the 2nd petitioner, on compassionate grounds, and pass appropriate orders, as per law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and communicate the same to the 1st petitioner.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

C.V. RAMULU, J

5th July, 2007.

Note:-

Issue order copy

in one week.

BO

IBL